

The Core Practice Model (CPM) is a framework for integrated practice in child welfare and mental health agencies, service providers and community/tribal partners working with youth and families. Safety Organized Practice (SOP) is a collaborative practice model, meeting CPM framework guidelines. The SOP/CPM model incorporates Cultural Awareness, Trauma-Informed Lens, and Focus on Trauma philosophies throughout its practices.

Component	SOP/CPM	Outcome [list in Appendix]
Engaging Families through Relationship Effectively joining and partnering with the family to establish common goals concerning child safety, well- being and permanency.	Solution Focused Interviewing* Teaming/Network of Support Child and Family Team Meetings Family Connections Family Meetings Parents in Partnership Appreciative Inquiry Voice of the Child (Three Houses and Safety House) Voice and Choice Three Questions Safety Mapping Safety Planning* Coaching* CAPP Practice Behaviors	Process Measures: Improved evaluation of safety and danger Improved parent/child engagement Improved engagement of the network of support or family connection Outcomes: Reduced re-abuse [CFSR2—S1.1,C1.4] [CFSR3—3-S2, 3-P4] Increased speed and likelihood of permanency [CFSR2—C1.3,C3.1] [CFSR3—3-P1, 3-P2, 3-P3] Increased relative placement [AB636—4B, 4E]



Component	SOP/CPM	Outcome [list in Appendix]
Promoting Safety through Assessment Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect, and maintained safely in their homes. Gathering information about reported concerns and family needs, evaluating the relevance of that information as well as identifying family strengths and community resources that may be applied to address those concerns and needs.	SDM*/CAT Safety Mapping Harm and Danger Statements Teaming/Networks of Support Child and Family Team Meetings Family Connections Three Houses/Safety House Three Questions Safety Planning* Coaching* CAPP Practice Behaviors	Process Measures: Improved evaluation of safety and danger Increased alignment of assessment results and service referrals Outcomes: Reduced re-abuse [CFSR2—S1.1] [CFSR3—3-S2] Increased permanency and timeliness [CFSR2—C1.3,C3.1] [CFSR3—3-P1, 3-P2, 3-P3] Reduced entries [AB636—Entry Rates] Reduced re-entry into care [CFSR2—C1.4] [CFSR3—3-P4]



Component	SOP/CPM	Outcome [list in Appendix]
Safety Driven Service and Support Planning and Implementation Tailoring plans to build on strengths and protective capacities in order to meet individual needs for each child and family. Services and support are respectful of the culture of children and their families and provided in the community.	Solution Focused Interviewing* Teaming/Network of Supports Child and Family Team Meetings Family Connections Safety Planning* Safety Goals Behaviorally Based Case Plans* Coaching* CAPP Practice Behaviors	Process Measures: Improved evaluation of danger and safety Improved engagement of the network of support or family connections Improved identification of behavioral changes in case plans that enhance the safety and well-being of the child. Outcomes: Reduced entries [AB636—Entry Rates] Decrease group home care [AB636—4B] Increase relative placement [AB636—4B, 4E] Increased placement stability [CFSR2—C4.1,2,3], [CFSR3—3-P5] Increased permanency and timeliness [CFSR2—C1.3,C3.1], [CFSR3—3-P1, 3-P2, 3-P3] Reduced re-entry into care [CFSR2—C1.4], [CFSR3—3-P4] Reduced recurrence of maltreatment [CFSR2—S1.1], [CFSR3—3-S2]



Component	SOP/CPM	Outcome [list in Appendix]
Monitoring and Adapting Monitoring and adapting the plan is a responsibility shared among all team members. Monitoring includes on-going assessment for further trauma exposure which may impact the child and family's progress. The team identifies and ties goals and interventions to observable or measurable indicators of success, continually revisits progress on tasks and goals, and revises the plan accordingly.	Safety Mapping Solution Focused Interviewing* Teaming/Network of Support Child and Family Team Meetings Family Connections Safety Planning* Safety Goals SDM*/CAT Behaviorally Based Case Plans* Coaching* CAPP Practice Behaviors	Process Measures: Increased accuracy and timeliness of safety and risk assessments Improved engagement of the network of support or family connection Outcomes: Decrease group home care [AB636—4B, 4E] Increased permanency and timeliness [CFSR2—C1.3,C3.1] [CFSR3—3-P1, 3-P2, 3-P3] Reduced recurrence of maltreatment [CFSR2—S1.1] [CFSR3—3-S2]



Component	SOP/CPM	Outcome [list in Appendix]
Transitions and After Care Planning Children have permanency and stability in their living situation. Transition plans must reflect the child and family's voices and choices and must ultimately delineate action plans that they have identified as working for them. The importance of the family support team continuing beyond the time of child welfare and/ or mental health involvement is emphasized from the beginning process of engagement.	Safety Mapping Solution Focused Interviewing* Teaming/Network of Support Child and Family Team Meetings Family Connections Voice of the Child/Three Houses/Safety House Voice and Choice Safety Planning (Aftercare)* Safety Goals Coaching* CAPP Practice Behaviors	Process Measures: Increased accuracy and timeliness of safety and risk assessments Improved engagement of network of support or family connections Increased development of behaviorally specific after care plans that include the network of support or family connection to sustain child safety Outcomes: Reduced entries [AB636—Entry Rates] Increased permanency and timeliness [CFSR2—C1.3,C3.1] [CFSR3—3-P1, 3-P2, 3-P3] Reduced re-entry into care [CFSR2—C1.4] [CFSR3—3-P4] Reduced recurrence of maltreatment [CFSR2—S1.1] [CFSR3—3-S2]

Entries in *blue italicized* font represent comparable elements practiced by the Los Angeles Department of Children and Family Services. Entries with an asterisk (*) indicate a practice common throughout all participating county Child Welfare agencies.



Appendix: Outcome Measure Description

AB636 [C-CFSR California Outcomes and Accountability System State Measure]

- Entry Rates (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/EntryRates.aspx)
- 4B * Least Restrictive (Entries First Placement) (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/Entries.aspx)
- 4B * Least Restrictive (PIT Placement) (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/PIT.aspx)
- 4E (1&2) * ICWA placement preference (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/CDSS_4E.aspx)

CFSR2¹ [Federal Child & Family Services Review Round 2 Measure]

- S1.1 No recurrence of maltreatment (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/S1M1.aspx)
- C1.3 * Reunification within 12 months (entry cohort) (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/C1M3.aspx)
- <u>C1.4</u>* Reentry following reunification (exit cohort) (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/C1M4.aspx)
- C3.1 * Exits to permanency (24 months in care) (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/C3M1.aspx)
- C4.1,2,3 * Placement stability (http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/C4M123.aspx)

CFSR3² [Federal Child & Family Services Review Round 3 Measure]

- 3-S2 Recurrence of maltreatment (url forthcoming)
- 3-P1 Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care (url forthcoming)
- 3-P2 Permanency in 12 months for children in foster care 12-23 months (url forthcoming)
- 3-P3 Permanency in 12 months for children in foster care 24 months or more (url forthcoming)
- 3-P4 Re-entry to foster care (url forthcoming)
- 3-P5 Placement stability (url forthcoming)

_

¹ Currently incorporated into county CSAs and SIPs; will be replaced by CFSR3 measures within timeframe TBD.

² Will replace CFSR2 measures in statewide quarterly reporting and be incorporated into county CSAs and SIPs within timeframe TBD.